

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 STATE 095794

17

ORIGIN SS-15

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CCO-00 /016 R

DRAFTED BY:S/S-O:SFRY

APPROVED BY:S/S-O:SFRY

DISTRIBUTION: S/S ONLY

----- 087975

O 090835Z MAY 74 ZFF6

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USDEL RIYADH IMMEDIATE

INFO AMEMBASSY CAIRO IMMEDIATE IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 095794

TOSEC 433

FOLLOWING STATE 95794 SENT JERUSALEM 9 MAY RPT TO YOU QTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 095794

TOSEC 433

FOR SECRETARY'S PARTY FOR SISCO, ATHERTON AND MAW

FOLLOWING REPEAT STATE 91848 ACTION CAIRO INFO

LONDON SECDEF JCS CINCUSNAVEUR USCINCEUR COMSIXFLT

3MAY.

QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 091848

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: EG, UK, PFOR, MARR, EAID

SUBJECT: SUEZ CANAL CLEARANCE AGREEMENT

REFS: (A) CAIRO 2649; (B) CAIRO 2157; (C) CAIRO 2648

1. FAHMY APRIL 25 LETTER ON SUBJECT AGREEMENT HAS BEEN

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 095794

CAREFULLY REVIEWED IN DEPT AND DEFENSE. WITH RELATIVELY
MINOR EXCEPTIONS NOTED BELOW, WE FIND SUBSTANCE OF

FAHMY'S COMMENTS GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE, BUT ARE CONCERNED HIS LETTER FAILED TO CONFIRM EXISTENCE OF AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE TWO GOVERNMENTS. WE HAVE ALSO REVIEWED CAREFULLY YOUR REF C COMMENTS INDICATING STRONG PREFERENCE OF GOE FOR NO FORMAL AGREEMENT WHATEVER AND YOUR JUDGMENT IT WILL BE EXTREMELY DIFFICULT TO GET ANYTHING FURTHER FROM GOE ON THIS SUBJECT. NONETHELESS, BECAUSE OF STRONGLY FELT NEED OF USG TO HAVE CLEAR AGREEMENT ON BOTH STATUS OF FORCES COVERAGE AND ON MATTERS OF LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION, WE FEEL IT NECESSARY TO RESPOND TO FAHMY'S NOTE, SETTING OUT OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE AGREEMENT AND REQUESTING GOE'S RESPONSE ACKNOWLEDGING EXISTENCE OF AN AGREEMENT. OUR RESPONSE IS DESIGNED TO HAVE THE APPEARANCE OF INFORMALITY BUT AT THE SAME TIME TO CREATE, WHEN ACCEPTED BY GOE, BINDING OBLIGATIONS UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW.

2. SEPARATE ISSUE IS THE FORM OF AGREEMENT ULTIMATELY REACHED. IDEALLY, WE SHOULD HAVE A SINGLE DOCUMENT TO

WHICH BOTH SIDES HAVE AGREED, AND DEPT AND DEFENSE WOULD PREFER THAT RESULT. HOWEVER, WE FEEL EXCHANGE OF APRIL 13 AND 25, TOGETHER WITH NOTE PROPOSED BELOW AND GOE'S RESPONSE, WOULD CREATE AN AGREEMENT OF SUFFICIENT CLARITY. DUE PRINCIPALLY TO YOUR WARNINGS ON POLITICAL DIFFICULTIES EGYPTIANS ARE HAVING WITH THIS AGREEMENT AND ON DIFFICULTY WE WILL EXPERIENCE IN SEEKING A FURTHER RESPONSE FROM THEM, WE HAVE DECIDED AGAINST PRESENTING A NEW FULL TEXT INCORPORATING FAHMY'S COMMENTS AND FURTHER COMMENTS WE HAVE. RATHER, YOU ARE REQUESTED TO PRESENT THE LESS FORMAL NOTE SET FORTH PARA 3 BELOW WHICH SEEKS EGYPTIAN CONCURRENCE IN OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE AGREEMENT. BY SEPARATE TELEGRAM WE ARE TRANSMITTING A COMPOSITE TEXT WHICH SETS FORTH IN A SINGLE DOCUMENT THE AGREEMENT AS IT WOULD EXIST IF GOE ACCEPTS OUR UNDERSTANDINGS. DEPT AND DEFENSE PROPOSE TO USE THIS DOCUMENT FOR OPERATIONAL PURPOSES, AND WE ASK THAT YOU HAND IT INFORMALLY TO GOE FOR ITS REFERENCE.

CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 095794

3. TEXT OF RESPONSE TO FAHMY'S APRIL 25 LETTER FOLLOWS -
BEGIN QUOTE:

I HAVE THE HONOR TO ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF YOUR EXCELLENCY'S LETTER OF APRIL 25, 1974 CONCERNING THE AGREEMENT PROPOSED IN MY NOTE OF APRIL 13, 1974 IN CONNECTION WITH UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF EGYPT IN THE CLEARANCE OF MINES AND ORDNANCE FROM THE SUEZ CANAL, AND TO EXPRESS THE APPRECIATION OF THE GOVERNMENT

OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE ATTENTION YOUR GOVERNMENT
HAS ACCORDED THIS IMPORTANT MATTER.

THE FOLLOWING REPRESENT THE UNDERSTANDINGS OF MY GOVERNMENT
CONCERNING THIS AGREEMENT:

1. THE MUTUAL WAIVER DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 2 OF YOUR
APRIL 25, 1974 LETTER SHALL APPLY ONLY TO THE CLAIMS
OF EITHER GOVERNMENT RESULTING FROM ANY ACT OR OMISSION
IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACTIVITIES REFERRED TO IN PARA-
GRAPH 1 OF MY NOTE OF APRIL 13, 1974. THIS MUTUAL WAIVER
IS NOT INTENDED TO LIMIT INDIFICATION AGAINST CLAIMS
BY OTHERS PROVIDED FOR IN PARA 4 OF THAT NOTE.
2. CONCERNING PARA 3A OF YOUR LETTER OF APRIL 25, 1974,
THE ENTRY OF AIRCRAFT AND VESSELS AND DEPARTURE OF AIRCRAFT
SHALL BE SUBJECT TO PRIOR AUTHORIZATION BY EGYPTIAN
COMPETENT AUTHORITY, AND REASONABLE NOTIFICATION SHALL
BE GIVEN PRIOR TO DEPARTURE OF VESSELS.
3. ANY MEMBER OF THE FORCE TAKEN INTO CUSTODY BY EGYPTIAN
AUTHORITIES AS SPECIFIED IN PARA 4 OF YOUR LETTER
OF APRIL 25, 1974 SHALL BE SUBJECT TO INTERROGATION ONLY
IN THE PRESENCE OF A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT WHO MAY ADVISE THE SUSPECT OF HIS LEGAL RIGHTS,
AND THE SUSPECT SHALL BE DELIVERED TO THE NEAREST AUTHOR-
ITY REPRESENTING THE FORCE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF HIS FIRST
DETENTION.
4. WITH RESPECT TO PARAGRAPH 4D OF YOUR LETTER OF APRIL
25, 1974, THE COMMANDER OF THE FORCE SHALL DELIVER TO THE
CONFIDENTIAL
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 095794

EGYPTIAN COMPETENT AUTHORITIES A REPORT OF THE RESULTS OF
THE INVESTIGATION AND OF ANY SUBSEQUENT DISCIPLINARY
ACTION.

5. RELIEF FROM THE CIVIL JURISDICTION OF EGYPTIAN COURTS
PROVIDED FOR IN PARAGRAPH 5 OF YOUR APRIL 25, 1974 LETTER
WILL INCLUDE RELIEF FROM ANY EXERCISE OF ADMINISTRATIVE
JURISDICTION IN MATTERS RELATED TO CARRYING OUT THE
ACTIVITIES REFERRED TO IN PARAGRAPH 1 OF MY APRIL 13,
1974 NOTE.

IF THE FOREGOING UNDERSTANDINGS REPRESENT THE WISHES OF
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT, I HAVE THE
HONOR TO REQUEST YOUR CONFIRMATION THAT MY NOTE OF APRIL
13, 1974, YOUR RESPONSE OF APRIL 25, 1974, AND THIS NOTE
CONSTITUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN OUR TWO GOVERNMENTS.

ACCEPT, EXCELLENCY, THE ASSURANCE OF MY HIGHEST CONSIDERA-

TION. END QUOTE.

4. FOREGOING TEXT MAY REQUIRE CHANGE IN REFERENCE TO
YOUR "NOTE" OF APRIL 13 IF IN FACT IT WAS A LETTER AS
FAHMY SUGGESTS IN HIS OF APRIL 25.

5. DEFENSE CONCERNED THAT IDENTITY CARDS NOT BE REQUIRED
FOR SHIPS' CREWS WHO REMAIN ABOARD THEIR VESSELS, AND
MAY WISH TO RAISE THIS INFORMALLY WITH EGYPTIANS OR AS A
"SU
E E E E E E E

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: SUEZ CANAL, STATUS OF FORCES AGREEMENTS, NIMBUS STAR OPERATION, DIPLOMATIC NOTES, TOSEC 433
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 MAY 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE095794
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: O:SFRY
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740112-1038
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740561/aaaacbyj.tel
Line Count: 174
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: (A) CAIRO 2649; (B) CAIRO 2157; (C), CAIRO 2648
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 24 JUN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <24 JUN 2002 by cunninfx>; APPROVED <10 FEB 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: SUEZ CANAL CLEARANCE AGREEMENT
TAGS: PFOR, MARR, EAID, EG, UK, US
To: RIYADH
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005